

Translated to English:

I have the honor to speak on behalf of my delegation, Costa Rica, and Colombia.

We want first to align ourselves with the speech delivered by South Africa on behalf of the Group of 77 and China.

Regarding the follow up and review section, we want to make the following specific comments:

- Paragraph 49. We reiterate the request to remove the last sentence of paragraph 49, as it does not reflect all the elements of architecture for monitoring and review.
- Paragraph 78. In the first sentence, we consider it appropriate to add the following:

The HLPF, under the auspices of the General Assembly and ECOSOC, will have the central role in overseeing follow-up and review at the global level. It is the fórum which will be at the core apex of the review at all levels.

- We agree with the delegation of Mexico that it is essential to include an introductory paragraph in the section global partnership, in which the existing UN architecture is described, which has been recognized as a fundamental part of the mechanism for monitoring and review the post-2015 global agenda. We support, in principle, the text proposed by Mexico:

78pre. *The follow-up and review framework is cemented on a robust architecture already in place at the global level. It comprises the ECOSOC system, the General Assembly the HLPF at its apex and the United Nations Development System.*

- In paragraph 81 we also agree with Mexico the proposals about the thematic reviews under the commissions of ECOSOC and other forums, and requests them to be aligned with the cycle of HLPF under the auspices of ECOSOC, which gives guidance to the work of such subsidiary bodies.
- Paragraph 82. It is important to keep the language agreed in Addis.
- Paragraphs 70 (h) and 78: We support the inclusion of Middle Income Countries.

Thank you very much,

As received in Spanish:

Tengo el honor de hablar en nombre de Colombia y mi delegación, Costa Rica

Deseamos primero alinearnos con el discurso leído por Sudáfrica, en nombre del Grupo de los 77 y China.

Respecto a la sección de seguimiento y revisión queremos hacer los siguientes comentarios puntuales

- Párrafo 49. Reiteramos la petición de eliminar la última frase del párrafo 49, ya que no refleja todos los elementos de la arquitectura para el seguimiento y revisión.
- Párrafo 78. En la primera frase, consideramos apropiado agregar lo siguiente:

The HLPF, under the auspices of the General Assembly and ECOSOC, will have the central role in overseeing follow-up and review at the global level. It is the fórum which will be at the core apex of the review at all levels.

- Coincidimos con la delegación de México en que es fundamental incluir un párrafo inicial en la sección de seguimiento global, en el que se describa la existente arquitectura de NN.UU., la cual ha sido reconocida como parte fundamental del mecanismo de seguimiento y revisión de la agenda post-2015 a nivel global. Apoyamos en principio el texto propuesto por México:

78pre. The follow-up and review framework is cemented on a robust architecture already in place at the global level. It comprises the ECOSOC system, the General Assembly the HLPF at its apex and the United Nations Development System.

- En el párrafo 81 también coincidimos con México en que al hablar sobre las revisiones temáticas en las comisiones orgánicas de ECOSOC y otros foros, y pide que sean alineados con el ciclo del HLPF, debería referirse al ciclo del ECOSOC que da guía a los trabajos de dichos órganos subsidiarios.
- Párrafo 82. Es importante mantener el lenguaje acordado en Addis.
- Párrafos 70 (h) y 78: Desemos apoyar la inclusión de los Países de Renta Media.

Muchas gracias,